1

```
1
               IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
              FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
2
3
     W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in
     his capacity as ATTORNEY
4
     GENERAL OF THE STATE OF
     OKLAHOMA and OKLAHOMA
5
     SECRETARY OF THE ENVIRONMENT )
     C. MILES TOLBERT in his
6
     capacity as the TRUSTEE FOR
     NATURAL RESOURCES FOR THE
7
     STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
8
                  Plaintiff,
9
                                   ) No. 05-CV-00329-GKF-PJC
                vs.
10
     TYSON FOODS, INC, et al.,
11
                  Defendants.
12
13
14
15
             VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF TERRY PEACH,
     before the undersigned Certified Shorthand Reporter,
16
     taken on behalf of the Defendants, at the Attorney
17
     General, 313 Northeast 21st Street, Oklahoma City,
     Oklahoma, commencing at 9:06 a.m., on April 10, 2009,
     pursuant to the stipulations of the parties.
18
19
20
21
                NICHOLE M. MYERS, RPR, CSR #1704
22
23
                  NICHOLS McCLANAHAN REPORTING
                         Two Main Plaza
24
                    616 South Main, Suite 302
                   Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119-1261
25
            (918) 585-9969 * * * FAX (918) 585-9955
```

2

| | | - |
|----|---|------------------------------------|
| | | |
| 1 | | APPEARANCES |
| 2 | ATTORNEY FOR | THE PLAINTIFF: |
| 3 | 111101111111111111111111111111111111111 | MR. TREVOR HAMMONS |
| | | MR. DANIEL LENNINGTON |
| 4 | | Assistant Attorney General |
| | | 313 Northeast 21st Street |
| 5 | | Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105 |
| 6 | | |
| | ATTORNEY FOR | ODAFF: |
| 7 | | |
| | | MR. LARRY H. HARDEN |
| 8 | | MS. TEENA G. GUNTER |
| | | Post Office Box 528804 |
| 9 | | Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73152 |
| 10 | A EEEO DAIEA A EOD | MYGON FOODS THE |
| 11 | ATTORNEY FOR | TYSON FOODS, INC.: |
| | | MR. ROBERT GEORGE |
| 12 | | Senior Counsel, Legal Department |
| | | 2210 West Oaklawn Drive |
| 13 | | Springdale, Arkansas 72762 |
| 14 | | Springaare, minamaa ,2,02 |
| | ATTORNEY FOR | CARGILL: |
| 15 | | |
| | | MR. JOHN TUCKER |
| 16 | | Rhodes, Hieronymus, Jones, |
| | | Tucker & Gable |
| 17 | | 100 West 5th Street, Suite 400 |
| | | Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103-4287 |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | ATTORNEY FOR | SIMMONS FOODS: |
| 20 | | MR. BRUCE WAYNE FREEMAN |
| 21 | | Conner & Winters |
| 21 | | 4000 One Williams Center |
| 22 | | Tulsa, Oklahoma 74172-0148 |
| 23 | スଫͲ△ĐΜԵ♡ E△Đ | PETERSON FARMS: |
| 24 | ATTORNET FOR | MR. PHILIP HIXON |
| | | McDaniel, Hixon, Longwell & Acord |
| 25 | | 320 South Boston Avenue, Suite 700 |
| | | Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103 |
| | | • |
| | | |

3

TERRY PEACH 4/10/2009

1 ATTORNEY FOR GEORGE'S: 2 MS. K. C. DUPPS TUCKER Bassett Law Firm 3 221 North College Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701 4 ATTORNEY FOR CAL-MAINE: 5 6 MR. ROBERT SANDERS Young Williams 7 2000 AmSouth Plaza Post Office Box 23059 8 Jackson, Mississippi 39225 9 **VIDEOGRAPHER:** 10 MR. DAVID FARLEY 11 BALLARD VIDEO PRODUCTIONS, INC. 12 13 14 15 16 STIPULATIONS It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and among 17 18 the parties hereto that the deposition is being taken 19 pursuant to agreement of the parties. 20 It is further stipulated by and among the parties 21 hereto that all objections, except as to the form of 22 the question, are to be reserved until the time of 23 trial. 24 25

1 Q. All right. Now, if you would, turn over 2 to the -- to the very next page. And in the second 3 column towards the top there's a heading that says 4 "organic nutrient application rates." Do you see 5 that? 6 Α. Yes, sir. 7 And do you know if chicken litter is Q. 8 considered an organic fertilizer? 9 MR. HAMMONS: Object to the form. 10 Yes, I believe that it is. Α. 11 Q. (By Mr. Sanders) All right. Then below 12 that you'll see two headings for nitrogen application 13 and phosphorus application. Do you see that? 14 Yes, sir. Α. 15 And the first one for nitrogen application Q. 16 says the amount of nitrogen applied for manure will 17 not exceed the animal -- the annual crop requirement 18 for nitrogen. Do you see that? 19 Α. Yes, sir. 20 Q. All right. And down below that's for the 21 phosphorus application it says that the maximum 22 planned rates of phosphorus application shall be 23 determined using the Oklahoma phosphorus worksheet. 24 Do you see that? 25 Yes, sir. Α.

```
1
     in that; is that correct?
2
           Α.
                 Yes.
3
                 Let me ask you about the Oklahoma
           Q.
     Conservation Commission. Are you -- are you aware
4
5
     that the state of Oklahoma pays people through the
6
     Oklahoma Conservation Commission to teach people how
7
     to use litter, how to apply litter and use litter in
8
     the IRW?
9
                 Yes, sir.
           Α.
10
                 MR. HAMMONS: Object to the form.
                 (By Mr. Sanders) Is it odd to you that
11
           Q.
12
     the state of Oklahoma on the one hand pays employees
13
     to teach people how to use litter in the IRW and on
14
     the other hand the state of Oklahoma is suing to
15
     prevent the use of litter in the IRW?
16
                 MR. HAMMONS: Object to the form.
17
                 (By Mr. Sanders) Does that seem odd to
           Q.
18
     you?
19
                 Yes, sir.
           Α.
20
           Q.
                 You know also that there are point sources
21
     of nutrients and bacteria for that matter in the IRW;
     is that correct?
22
23
                 Restate the question.
           Α.
24
                 Do you understand that there are point
           Q.
25
      sources that -- like municipal wastewater treatment
```

| 1 | Q. Okay. Has there been any finding of any |
|----|---|
| 2 | pollution against the poultry industry under that |
| 3 | particular section? |
| 4 | MR. HAMMONS: Object to the form. |
| 5 | A. The industry? |
| 6 | Q. (By Mr. Hixon) The industry. |
| 7 | A. No. |
| 8 | Q. Would that section give you jurisdiction |
| 9 | to make that determination against the industry? |
| 10 | MR. HAMMONS: Object to the form. |
| 11 | A. No, our jurisdiction would be over the |
| 12 | growers. |
| 13 | Q. (By Mr. Hixon) So, for example, my |
| 14 | client, Peterson Farms, who no longer has any kind of |
| 15 | contract poultry growing operations in the Illinois |
| 16 | River watershed, you would have no jurisdiction over |
| 17 | Peterson Farms under that particular |
| 18 | A. Where they do not own production |
| 19 | facilities, no. |
| 20 | Q. And if Peterson Farms did have a contract |
| 21 | grower in the Illinois River watershed and there was |
| 22 | a finding of a violation of that provision who who |
| 23 | would ODAFF take action against? |
| 24 | A. We would regulate the grower. |
| 25 | Q. The grower. Okay. Has the state of |

1 (Defendant's Exhibit No. 7 was identified.)
2 (By Mr. Hixon) I'm going to hand you

- Q. (By Mr. Hixon) I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 7 to your deposition. I represent that this is a page out of the first amended complaint filed by the state of Oklahoma against the Defendants in this case. Have you reviewed the first amended complaint?
 - A. No, sir.

2.3

- Q. Okay. If you would, please look at paragraph 131.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. I'll read that into the record for you. It says, "Additionally the poultry integrator defendants are subject to the jurisdiction of the Oklahoma Department of Agriculture, Food and Forestry pursuant to Oklahoma Environmental Quality Act and by and through their wrongful poultry waste disposable -- pardon me -- poultry waste disposal practices have caused pollution of the land and waters within the IRW in Oklahoma. Each instance of this conduct constitutes a violation of two Oklahoma Stats Section 2-18-1." Section 2-18.1 is this Exhibit 6. Would you agree with that?
 - A. Yes, I would.
 - Q. And would you agree with the allegation in

```
1
     this paragraph of the first amended complaint that
2
     ODAFF has jurisdiction over the poultry integrator
     Defendants?
3
                               Object to the form.
                 MR. HAMMONS:
5
                 The Defendants being whom?
           Α.
6
           Ο.
                 (By Mr. Hixon)
                                  The Defendants were
7
     parties that Mr. Sanders has read to you earlier.
8
     may not get an exhaustive list, but they're Tyson
9
     Defendants, the Cargill Defendants, George's
10
     Defendants, Simmons Foods, Peterson Foods -- pardon
     me, Peterson Farms, Willow Brook.
11
12
           Α.
                 Yes.
13
           Q.
                 Okay.
14
           Α.
                 Yes, I would agree with that.
15
           Q.
                 Okay. That ODAFF --
16
           Α.
                 The Department of Agriculture has
17
      jurisdiction.
18
           Q.
                 Has jurisdiction over those poultry
19
      integrators?
20
           Α.
                 No, the growers.
21
                 MR. HAMMONS: Object to the form.
22
                 The growers.
           Α.
23
                 (By Mr. Hixon) Okay. Has jurisdiction
           Q.
24
     over the growers?
25
                 The farms. The actual farms.
           Α.
```

| 1 | Q. You understand that this lawsuit was not |
|----|---|
| 2 | brought against the individual poultry growers? |
| 3 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 4 | Q. Does ODAFF have jurisdiction over the |
| 5 | Defendants, those poultry integrators? |
| 6 | MR. HAMMONS: Object to the form. |
| 7 | A. It is my understanding, no, we have |
| 8 | jurisdiction over the growers unless the Defendants |
| 9 | have farms of their own. |
| 10 | Q. (By Mr. Hixon) So ODAFF may have |
| 11 | jurisdiction over an integrator if the integrator has |
| 12 | a is that a company-owned farm? |
| 13 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 14 | Q. But that would would there be any other |
| 15 | exceptions to ODAFF's jurisdiction? |
| 16 | MR. HAMMONS: Object to the form. |
| 17 | A. There may be other areas, but not to my |
| 18 | knowledge. |
| 19 | Q. (By Mr. Hixon) Okay. The question that I |
| 20 | asked earlier about the state of Oklahoma requesting |
| 21 | you to undertake an investigation pursuant to |
| 22 | subparagraph B of Exhibit 6, this allegation in |
| 23 | paragraph 131 says that these acts by the poultry |
| 24 | integrators are in violation of that Section 2-18.1. |
| 25 | Has the state of Oklahoma asked the Department of |
| | |

| 1 | your farming practices and what crops you grow. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Well, for example, you have wheat out |
| 3 | there. And after you cut your wheat crop what do you |
| 4 | do with it? |
| 5 | A. Work the ground. |
| 6 | Q. And that means you work in the organic |
| 7 | matter that's left over after the wheat's harvested? |
| 8 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 9 | Q. So that's giving back to the soil, isn't |
| 10 | it? |
| 11 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 12 | Q. And the same with your pasture, do you |
| 13 | burn your pasture every year? |
| 14 | A. No. |
| 15 | Q. Do you ever burn your pasture? |
| 16 | A. No. |
| 17 | Q. So the grass that doesn't come back the |
| 18 | next year and that which gets on the ground it |
| 19 | decomposes and that's giving back to the soil too, |
| 20 | isn't it? |
| 21 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 22 | Q. When you apply poultry litter you're not |
| 23 | just applying nitrogen and phosphorus and other major |
| 24 | nutrients, are you, sir? |
| 25 | A. No. |

| 1 | Q. What else are you applying to your soil? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. You're applying nutrients, humus and also |
| 3 | soil bacteria. |
| 4 | Q. Does chemical fertilizer do that for the |
| 5 | soil? |
| 6 | A. No, sir. |
| 7 | Q. Are those things that you're adding back |
| 8 | to the soil healthy for the soil? |
| 9 | MR. HAMMONS: Object to the form. |
| 10 | A. They're if you're careful with the amount |
| 11 | of ingredients that you apply back and you apply |
| 12 | those ingredients back based on soil analysis. |
| 13 | Q. (By Mr. Tucker) My grandfather was a |
| 14 | gentleman farmer, or so he saw himself, out by Lake |
| 15 | Overholser here in Oklahoma City. Faircroft Farm was |
| 16 | his place. He grew alfalfa. And Oklahoma State came |
| 17 | down and talked to him about 1950 and said, |
| 18 | "Mr. Johnston, if you'll add some nitrogen at this |
| 19 | rate to your fertilizer to your alfalfa, you'll |
| 20 | get a lot better crop. You'll get probably double |
| 21 | the crop you've got now. You can probably get two |
| 22 | cuttings instead of one." And so my grandfather |
| 23 | being no fool figured that if that much was good, if |
| 24 | he put on twice as much, he'd get four times as much |

alfalfa. That didn't work for him. He lost all his

25

1 alfalfa. 2 Is that kind of what you're talking about? 3 Α. Yes, sir. 4 Is that one of the things that a farmer Q. 5 can benefit from by following a nutrient management 6 plan prepared under the auspices of ODAFF? 7 Yes, sir. Α. 8 MR. HAMMONS: Object to the form. 9 Yes, sir. Α. (By Mr. Tucker) One of the things that 10 Q. 11 ODAFF does when they instruct farmers on the proper 12 use of organic fertilizers or litter and how to apply 13 it is they put on a slide show for them or a Power 14 Have you seen that Power Point? 15 Α. No, sir, I haven't. 16 Well, let me tell you one of the -- one of Q. 17 the training videos -- you know there is a training 18 video though? 19 Α. Yes, sir. 20 Q. One of the presentations in the training 21 video, and I'll quote from it, "your AWMP" -- and you know what an AWMP is? 22 23 Yes. Α. 24 What is an AWMP by the way? Q. 25 It's a waste management plan. Α.

| 1 | Q. And what kind of soil testing do they do? |
|----|---|
| 2 | I mean what are they testing for? |
| 3 | A. M, P and K and trace elements. |
| 4 | Q. And is that solely for your-all's |
| 5 | management purposes or does that get reported to some |
| 6 | agency at the state? |
| 7 | A. It's for our management purposes. |
| 8 | Q. Okay. So it's not being reported out |
| 9 | really? |
| 10 | A. No. |
| 11 | Q. You had said earlier, I mean a lot |
| 12 | earlier, that you wouldn't mind using poultry litter |
| 13 | if it was, you know, available and at a decent price? |
| 14 | A. And cost effective. |
| 15 | Q. Yeah, because you guys are out west. |
| 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 | Q. Have you seen any reports or studies on |
| 18 | whether poultry litter is considered to be effective |
| 19 | for wheat growing? |
| 20 | A. Yes. |
| 21 | Q. And what's the general tenor of what |
| 22 | you've seen? |
| 23 | A. It is effective. |
| 24 | Q. If litter was available, would you be |
| 25 | using it for the wheat or for the pastures or for |
| | |

| 1 | both? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. For both. |
| 3 | Q. Have you seen any reports that indicate |
| 4 | whether or not poultry litter is good for pasture? |
| 5 | A. Yes, or I wouldn't use it. |
| 6 | Q. Okay. So |
| 7 | A. I just answered that. |
| 8 | Q. Okay. All right. So so good? |
| 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | Q. All right. Okay. Before you became the |
| 11 | head of ODAFF |
| 12 | A. Yes. |
| 13 | Q and the Secretary of Agriculture did |
| 14 | you know folks who raised cattle over in the IRW? |
| 15 | Professionally, socially, whatever. |
| 16 | A. I specifically wouldn't know what |
| 17 | watershed they lived in, no. |
| 18 | Q. Okay. Have you gotten any general |
| 19 | impression whether or not the use of poultry litter |
| 20 | has improved pasture range over in that part of the |
| 21 | state? |
| 22 | MR. HAMMONS: Object to the form. |
| 23 | A. Yes, it has very much improved range |
| 24 | conditions in that watershed. |
| 25 | Q. (By Mr. Freeman) Is there any special |